Standards-Based Rubrics that Place Student Work on a Progression

LDC's student work rubrics lay out the progression of evidence of standards-based thinking.

2019 - STUDENT WORK RUBRIC - ARGUMENTATION TASK - GRADES 9-10

10th Grade ELA Anchor Module - Quarter 4

| Scoring Elements | Emerging | | Approaches Expectations | | Meets Expectations | | Advanced | | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Liementa | | | 1.5 2 | | 3 | 3.5 | 4 | | |
| Controlling Idea | Makes a general claim with an unclear focus. | | Establishes a clear claim that addresses the prompt , with an uneven focus . | | Establishes and maintains a clear, specific, and credible claim that addresses all aspects of the prompt. | | Establishes and maintains a precise , substantive claim that addresses all aspects of the prompt. Acknowledges limitations and/or the complexity of the issue or topic . | | |
| Selection & Citation of Evidence | Includes minimal details from sources. Sources are used without citation. | | Includes details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that are relevant to the claim. Inconsistently cites sources. | | Includes details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that support the claim and supporting ideas . Consistently cites sources with minor formatting errors . | | Includes well-chosen details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that fully support the claim and supporting ideas. Consistently cites sources using appropriate format . | | |
| Development / Explanation of Sources | Explanation of ideas and source material is irrelevant, incomplete, or inaccurate. | | Explains ideas and source material to support the argument, with some incomplete reasoning or explanations. | | Accurately explains ideas and source material and how they support the argument. | | Thoroughly and accurately explains ideas and source material, using logical reasoning to support and develop the argument. | | |
| Organization | Lacks an evident structure. Makes unclear connections among claims, reasons, and/or evidence. | | Groups ideas and uses transitions to develop the argument, with some lapses in coherence or organization. | Groups and sequences ideas to develop a cohesive argument. Uses transitions to clarify the relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence. | | | Groups and sequences ideas in a logical progression in which ideas build to create a unified whole. Uses varied transitions to clarify the precise relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence. | | |
| RI.9-10.8: Evaluate Arguments | Provides a general evaluation of the overall validity of an argument. | | Distinguishes between valid and invalid reasoning in the text. Evaluates the relevance and/or sufficiency of some evidence in the text | | Distinguishes between valid and invalid reasoning, indicating how reasoning is or is not valid. Evaluates the extent to which reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claims, with attention to relevance and sufficiency of evidence throughout the text | | Level 3 + Differentiates points that are adequately supported with relevant and sufficient evidence from points that are not, with explanation of why | | |
| RI.9-10.6: Analyze Use of Rhetoric | Discusses use of rhetoric in the text with minimal connection to point of view/purpose OR inaccurately describes use of rhetoric in the text. | | Makes connections between use of rhetoric in the text and the author's point of view/purpose. | | Accurately demonstrates how use of rhetoric advances the author's point of view/purpose. | | Accurately demonstrates how specific instances of rhetoric work together to advance the author's point of view/purpose. | | |

LDC Student Formative Progress Tracking Using Standards-Based Rubric Data

| LITERACY DESIGN COLLABORATIVE | | NS: Enter the Focu For ELA, you will h | Ther | e is a three-word li | mit for what you e | enter. | • • | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Your Name: | Mod | ule 1 | Mod | Module 2 Module 3 Module 4 | | | dule 4 | | | | |
| | Reading Standard <mark>R2</mark> | Content Standard <mark>R3</mark> | Reading Standard <mark>R2</mark> | Content Standard R7 | Reading Standard <mark>R2</mark> | Content Standard <mark>R8</mark> | Reading Standard <mark>R2</mark> | Content Standard <mark>R6</mark> | | | |
| | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as: 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | (Enter Scores as 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, or 1) | | | | |
| Student 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 3 | 3 3 | | | | | |
| Student 2 | 1 | 1 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | ; 3 | | | | | |
| Student 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 3 | | | | | |
| Student 4 | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 3 | | | | | |
| Student 5 | 2 | 1 | 2.5 | | | 3 3 | | | | | |
| Student 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | ↓ 4 | | | | | |
| Student 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | LITERAC | Y DESIGN | 1 | | | | |
| Student 8 | 1.5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | | ORATIVE | | R2 Co | mparison Data | | |
| Student 9 | 2.5 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | - | | |
| Student 10 | 2 | | | | • | From the classro | om of: Mrs. Sm | ith | | | |
| Student 11 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Student 12 | 1 | _ | | | | Module 1 | | Module 2 | Module 3 | Module 4 | |
| Student 13 | 2 | 2 | | _ | | Exceed | | Exceed Met | | Exceed Met | |
| Student 14 | 1 | | | | | Approach | | Approach Eme | | Approach Emerge | |
| Student 15 | 2 | | | | Ū. | 100% | | 100% | — 100% — | 100% | |
| Student 16 | 1.5 | _ | _ | | id | 2 | | - 9 - | 9 | | |
| Student 17 | 1 | | | | en | - 11 75% - | | | | 75% | ㅋ |
| Student 18 | 2 | | | | O D | - | | | — — — — | | N N |
| Student 19 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | Toward Equity |
| Student 20 | 1 | _ | | | , L | 50% - | | 50% - <mark>9</mark> - | 50% ¹² | 50% | П |
| Student 21 | 2 | | | | ea | - 12 | | | | | qu |
| Student 22 | 1.5 | | | | <u> </u> | - 25% - | | | | 25% | ity |
| Student 23 | 2.5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | of Learning | 0% | | - 6 0% R2 | | 0% 0 R2 | |

LDC Targeted Instruction Sets

Sets of daily lessons designed to do one of two things:

- Teach students the skills of a score level
- 2. Teach students to move from one level to the next

Used for targeted 1-1 instruction, small group, differentiation, or as the glue between modules





5th Grade

CCSS.ELA-LITERACY.RI.5.2: Determine two or more main ideas of a text and explain how they are supported by key details; summarize the text.

| | RADE LEVEL & | EMERGING | | APPROACHES EXPECTATIONS | | MEETS EXPECTATIONS | | ADVANCED |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SCC | DRING ELEMENT | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5 | RI.5.2: Determine Main Ideas; Summarize | Recounts the text, but the recounting is unclear and/or does not convey the text's main idea(s) ² | | Recounts the text and conveys the main idea(s) and key details | | Summarizes the text, conveys the main idea, and generally explains how key details support the main idea(s) | | Precisely summarizes the text, conveys the main idea, and precisely explains how key details support the main idea(s) |

Level 1: https://coretools.ldc.org/minitasks/f24aaa5f-f4d9-48f2-b0fb-34251b1c3294

Level 2: https://coretools.ldc.org/minitasks/f65231d1-ecbe-4d5b-8102-83b4bdbfad80

Level 3: https://coretools.ldc.org/minitasks/5e9b4e07-4479-4d4b-9622-d6187a806794

Level 4 (Enrichment): https://coretools.ldc.org/minitasks/7fedc1bc-19df-4b69-8644-304522152a33

Student Work Rubrics

SCALE¹ NORMED AND CALIBRATED STUDENT WORK RUBRICS, K-12.

1. Reading Rubrics (ELA) Targeted instruction and intervention

2. Writing Rubrics (ELA)

Common expectations for argumentative and informational writing with a disciplinary focus

3. NGSS (SCIENCE)

Content & Practices, Cross Cutting Competencies and Disciplinary Core Ideas

4. C3 (SOCIAL STUDIES) Content & Practices, Disciplinary Core Ideas

SEE ALL LDC STUDENT WORK RUBRICS HERE.



1 <u>Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity</u>